

Pattern of the Approximate Mass Degeneracy of Majorana Neutrinos

Ernest Ma

Physics Department, University of California, Riverside, California 92521

Abstract

In view of the recently reported evidence for a nonzero Majorana mass of the electron neutrino, together with the established phenomena of atmospheric and solar neutrino oscillations, the case of three nearly mass-degenerate Majorana neutrinos is now a distinct possibility. I show in this paper how a natural pattern of symmetry breaking in the recently proposed A_4 model of Majorana neutrino masses can accommodate the data on neutrino oscillations, resulting in the predictions $\sin^2 2\theta_{atm} = 1$ and $\sin^2 2\theta_{sol} = 2/3$.

In the past several years, there has been mounting evidence for neutrino oscillations [1, 2, 3]. Since they require only neutrino mass differences, the possibility of nearly degenerate neutrino masses is often considered [4]. Recently, the first positive evidence for neutrinoless double beta decay has been reported [5] which may be interpreted as an effective nonzero Majorana mass for the electron neutrino. Combined with the atmospheric and solar neutrino data, there is now a plausible argument for three nearly mass-degenerate Majorana neutrinos [6]. However, the charged-lepton masses are certainly not degenerate, so whatever symmetry is used to maintain the neutrino mass degeneracy must be broken. To implement this idea in a renormalizable field theory, the discrete symmetry A_4 was proposed recently [7] where its spontaneous breaking results in charged-lepton masses and its explicit soft breaking results in neutrino mass differences.

The proposed A_4 model is based on a simple model of neutrino masses [8], where a leptonic Higgs doublet $\eta = (\eta^+, \eta^0)$ and three right-handed neutral singlet fermions N_{iR} are added to the minimal standard model of particle interactions. These new particles may all be at or below the TeV energy scale, so that the seesaw mechanism [9] may be tested experimentally at future accelerators. With the report of a possible discrepancy in the experimental measurement [10] of the muon anomalous magnetic moment with the theoretical prediction, this leptonic Higgs model was used [11] to constrain the masses and couplings of these new particles. However, a sign error has been discovered [12] in the theoretical calculation, hence the experimental discrepancy is now only 1.6σ , which is not much of a constraint on this model.

In this paper the explicit soft breaking of the A_4 symmetry is shown to allow for a natural solution with the predictions $\sin^2 2\theta_{atm} = 1$ and $\sin^2 2\theta_{sol} = 2/3$ which agree well with present data and may be tested more precisely in future neutrino-oscillation experiments. At the same time, the new particles N_{iR} as well as an assortment of Higgs bosons with specific

properties [7] are predicted to be accessible at future high-energy accelerators and their decays into leptons will map out the neutrino mass matrix.

Under A_4 and L (lepton number), the color-singlet fermions and scalars of this model transform as follows.

$$(\nu_i, l_i)_L \sim (\underline{3}, 1), \quad (1)$$

$$l_{1R} \sim (\underline{1}, 1), \quad (2)$$

$$l_{2R} \sim (\underline{1}', 1), \quad (3)$$

$$l_{3R} \sim (\underline{1}'', 1), \quad (4)$$

$$N_{iR} \sim (\underline{3}, 0), \quad (5)$$

$$\Phi_i = (\phi_i^+, \phi_i^0) \sim (\underline{3}, 0), \quad (6)$$

$$\eta = (\eta^+, \eta^0) \sim (\underline{1}, -1). \quad (7)$$

Hence its Lagrangian has the invariant terms

$$\frac{1}{2} M N_{iR}^2 + f \bar{N}_{iR} (\nu_{iL} \eta^0 - l_{iL} \eta^+) + h_{ijk} \overline{(\nu_i, l_i)_L} l_{jR} \Phi_k + h.c., \quad (8)$$

where

$$h_{i1k} = h_1 \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix}, \quad h_{i2k} = h_2 \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & \omega & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & \omega^2 \end{bmatrix}, \quad h_{i3k} = h_3 \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & \omega^2 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & \omega \end{bmatrix}, \quad (9)$$

with $\omega^3 = 1$. Thus the neutrino mass matrix (in this basis) is proportional to the unit matrix with magnitude $f^2 u^2 / M$, where $u = \langle \eta^0 \rangle$, whereas the charged-lepton mass matrix is given by

$$\mathcal{M}_l = \begin{bmatrix} h_1 v_1 & h_2 v_1 & h_3 v_1 \\ h_1 v_2 & h_2 \omega v_2 & h_3 \omega^2 v_2 \\ h_1 v_3 & h_2 \omega^2 v_3 & h_3 \omega v_3 \end{bmatrix}, \quad (10)$$

where $v_i = \langle \phi_i^0 \rangle$. Now rotate $\mathcal{M}_l$ on the left by

$$U_L^\dagger = \frac{1}{\sqrt{3}} \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 1 & 1 \\ 1 & \omega^2 & \omega \\ 1 & \omega & \omega^2 \end{bmatrix}, \quad (11)$$

then

$$U_L^\dagger \mathcal{M}_l = \begin{bmatrix} v & v' & v'' \\ v'' & v & v' \\ v' & v'' & v \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} h_1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & h_2 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & h_3 \end{bmatrix}, \quad (12)$$

where

$$v = \frac{1}{\sqrt{3}}(v_1 + v_2 + v_3), \quad (13)$$

$$v' = \frac{1}{\sqrt{3}}(v_1 + \omega v_2 + \omega^2 v_3), \quad (14)$$

$$v'' = \frac{1}{\sqrt{3}}(v_1 + \omega^2 v_2 + \omega v_3). \quad (15)$$

As shown in Ref. [7], $v_1 = v_2 = v_3$ is a natural solution of the A_4 -symmetric Higgs potential, in which case $v' = v'' = 0$ and $U_L^\dagger \mathcal{M}_l$ is diagonal. Hence

$$\mathcal{M}_\nu = \frac{f^2 u^2}{M} U_L^T U_L = \frac{f^2 u^2}{M} \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 \end{bmatrix} \quad (16)$$

in the $(\nu_e, \nu_\mu, \nu_\tau)$ basis. This shows that ν_μ mixes maximally with ν_τ , but since all physical neutrino masses are degenerate, there are no neutrino oscillations. To break the degeneracy, let $\mathcal{M}_{ij} = M\delta_{ij} + m_{ij}$, then

$$(\mathcal{M}^{-1})_{ij} \simeq M^{-1}\delta_{ij} - M^{-2}m_{ij}. \quad (17)$$

Whereas m_{ij} is assumed arbitrary in Ref. [7], it is required here to be invariant under U_L , i.e.

$$U_L^T m_{ij} U_L = m_{ij}. \quad (18)$$

It is then a simple exercise to show that the most general solution is of the form

$$m_{ij} = \begin{bmatrix} 2\delta + 2\delta' & \delta' & \delta' \\ \delta' & \delta & \delta \\ \delta' & \delta & \delta \end{bmatrix}. \quad (19)$$

Consider first the case $\delta' = 0$, then

$$\mathcal{M}_\nu \simeq \frac{f^2 u^2}{M} \begin{bmatrix} 1 - 2\delta/M & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & -\delta/M & 1 - \delta/M \\ 0 & 1 - \delta/M & -\delta/M \end{bmatrix}, \quad (20)$$

which has eigenvalues proportional to $1 - 2\delta/M$, $1 - 2\delta/M$, and -1 , corresponding to the eigenstates ν_e , $(\nu_\mu + \nu_\tau)/\sqrt{2}$, and $(\nu_\mu - \nu_\tau)/\sqrt{2}$ respectively. This shows that the threefold degeneracy of $\mathcal{M}_\nu$ is broken by δ to a twofold degeneracy with $\nu_\mu - \nu_\tau$ maximal mixing and $\Delta m^2 \simeq 4\delta f^4 u^4/M^3$, which is desirable for explaining atmospheric neutrino oscillations [1]. It also provides a natural reason for having $\delta' \ll \delta$ because δ' breaks even the twofold degeneracy, as discussed below.

To see how $\delta' \neq 0$ affects m_{ij} of Eq. (19), rotate $\mathcal{M}_\nu$ of Eq. (20) to the basis spanned by ν_e , $(\nu_\mu + \nu_\tau)/\sqrt{2}$, and $(\nu_\mu - \nu_\tau)/\sqrt{2}$. Then

$$\mathcal{M}_\nu \simeq \frac{f^2 u^2}{M} \begin{bmatrix} 1 - 2\delta/M - 2\delta'/M & -\sqrt{2}\delta'/M & 0 \\ -\sqrt{2}\delta'/M & 1 - 2\delta/M & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & -1 \end{bmatrix}, \quad (21)$$

which has the solution

$$\begin{bmatrix} \nu_1 \\ \nu_2 \\ \nu_3 \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} \cos \theta & \sin \theta/\sqrt{2} & \sin \theta/\sqrt{2} \\ -\sin \theta & \cos \theta/\sqrt{2} & \cos \theta/\sqrt{2} \\ 0 & 1/\sqrt{2} & -1/\sqrt{2} \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} \nu_e \\ \nu_\mu \\ \nu_\tau \end{bmatrix}, \quad (22)$$

where $\tan \theta = (\sqrt{3} - 1)/\sqrt{2}$, and

$$m_1 \simeq \frac{f^2 u^2}{M} \left[1 - \frac{2\delta}{M} - \frac{(\sqrt{3} + 1)\delta'}{M} \right], \quad (23)$$

$$m_2 \simeq \frac{f^2 u^2}{M} \left[1 - \frac{2\delta}{M} + \frac{(\sqrt{3} - 1)\delta'}{M} \right], \quad (24)$$

and $m_3 \simeq -f^2 u^2/M$. Hence

$$\Delta m_{12}^2 \simeq \frac{4\sqrt{3}\delta' f^4 u^4}{M^3}, \quad \sin^2 2\theta_{12} = \frac{2}{3}. \quad (25)$$

This is then a satisfactory explanation of the solar neutrino data [2] with a large mixing angle. Numerically, let the common mass of all three neutrinos be $f^2 u^2/M = 0.4$ eV [5], and $\delta/M = 3.9 \times 10^{-3}$, $\delta'/M = 3.6 \times 10^{-5}$; then $(\Delta m^2)_{atm} = 2.5 \times 10^{-3}$ eV² and $(\Delta m^2)_{sol} = 4.0 \times 10^{-5}$ eV², in good agreement with present data. Note also that these numbers are not spoiled by radiative corrections [6].

As Eq. (22) shows, under the assumption of $v_1 = v_2 = v_3$ and that of Eq. (18), the electron neutrino ν_e has only ν_1 and ν_2 components, i.e. $U_{e3} = 0$. This is perfectly consistent with present data. However, if U_{e3} is indeed zero, then there can be no CP -violating effects in neutrino oscillations. In the context of the present model, if the Higgs potential has soft terms which break the A_4 symmetry, then v' and v'' of Eqs. (14) and (15) will not be zero, but may be assumed to be small. In that case, (h_1, h_2, h_3) of Eq. (12) are still approximately proportional to (m_e, m_μ, m_τ) , and it is easy to show that the rotation due to $v' \neq 0$ and $v'' \neq 0$ results in $|U_{e3}| \simeq |v'/v\sqrt{2}|$, which is bounded by reactor experiments [13] to be less than about 0.16.

The heavy right-handed singlet fermions N_{iR} are of course also nearly degenerate in mass. As discussed in Ref. [8], they will decay into charged leptons plus either a $W^\pm$ boson or a charged Higgs boson. The mass eigenstates of N_{iR} are given by $N_1 \cos \theta + (N_2 + N_3) \sin \theta/\sqrt{2}$, $-N_1 \sin \theta + (N_2 + N_3) \cos \theta/\sqrt{2}$, and $(N_2 - N_3)/\sqrt{2}$, with masses $M + 2\delta + (\sqrt{3} + 1)\delta'$, $M + 2\delta - (\sqrt{3} - 1)\delta'$, and M respectively. Their couplings are given by Eqs. (8) and (11), i.e. $N_1 \rightarrow (e + \mu + \tau)/\sqrt{3}$, $N_2 \rightarrow (e + \omega\mu + \omega^2\tau)/\sqrt{3}$, and $N_3 \rightarrow (e + \omega^2\mu + \omega\tau)/\sqrt{3}$. An equal and incoherent mixture of all three N 's will of course decay equally into e , μ , and τ .

In conclusion, the case of three nearly mass-degenerate Majorana neutrinos in a renormalizable field theory based on the discrete symmetry A_4 is studied and found to accommodate a natural solution with two mass splittings, one larger than the other because it breaks the threefold degeneracy only down to a twofold degeneracy. This pattern is ideal for under-

standing the recently reported evidence for a nonzero effective Majorana mass of the electron neutrino, and the established phenomena of atmospheric and solar neutrino oscillations. It predicts $\sin^2 2\theta_{atm} = 1$ and $\sin^2 2\theta_{sol} = 2/3$ with a zero or small U_{e3} . It also predicts particles at the TeV energy scale which are responsible for the proposed pattern. As such, future neutrino-oscillation experiments are complementary to future high-energy accelerator experiments in the unambiguous test of this model.

This work was supported in part by the U. S. Department of Energy under Grant No. DE-FG03-94ER40837.

References

- [1] S. Fukuda *et al.*, Super-Kamiokande Collaboration, Phys. Rev. Lett. **85**, 3999 (2000) and references therein.
- [2] S. Fukuda *et al.*, Super-Kamiokande Collaboration, Phys. Rev. Lett. **86**, 5656 (2001) and references therein. See also Q. R. Ahmad *et al.*, SNO Collaboration, Phys. Rev. Lett. **87**, 071301 (2001).
- [3] A. Aguilar *et al.*, Phys. Rev. **D64**, 112007 (2001); see also G. B. Mills (for the LSND Collaboration), Nucl. Phys. Proc. Suppl. **91**, 198 (2001) and references therein.
- [4] See for example R. Adhikari, E. Ma, and G. Rajasekaran, Phys. Lett. **B486**, 134 (2000) and references therein.
- [5] H. V. Klapdor-Kleingrothaus, A. Dietz, H. L. Harney, and I. V. Krivosheina, Mod. Phys. Lett. **A16**, 2409 (2001).
- [6] For an extensive list of possible phenomenological models, see for example H. V. Klapdor-Kleingrothaus and U. Sarkar, Mod. Phys. Lett. **A16**, 2469 (2001).

- [7] E. Ma and G. Rajasekaran, Phys. Rev. **D64**, 113012 (2001).
- [8] E. Ma, Phys. Rev. Lett. **86**, 2502 (2001).
- [9] M. Gell-Mann, P. Ramond, and R. Slansky, in *Supergravity*, edited by P. van Nieuwenhuizen and D. Z. Freedman (North-Holland, Amsterdam, 1979), p. 315; T. Yanagida, in *Proceedings of the Workshop on the Unified Theory and the Baryon Number in the Universe*, edited by O. Sawada and A. Sugamoto (KEK, Tsukuba, Japan, 1979), p. 95; R. N. Mohapatra and G. Senjanovic, Phys. Rev. Lett. **44**, 912 (1980).
- [10] H. N. Brown *et al.*, Phys. Rev. Lett. **86**, 2227 (2001).
- [11] E. Ma and M. Raidal, Phys. Rev. Lett. **87**, 011802 (2001); Erratum-ibid. **87**, 159901 (2001).
- [12] M. Knecht and A. Nyffeler, hep-ph/0111058; M. Knecht, A. Nyffeler, M. Perrottet, and E. de Rafael, hep-ph/0111059; M. Hayakawa and T. Kinoshita, hep-ph/0112102; I. Blokland, A. Czarnecki, and K. Melnikov, hep-ph/0112117.
- [13] M. Apollonio *et al.*, Phys. Lett. **B466**, 415 (1999); F. Boehm *et al.*, Phys. Rev. **D64**, 112001 (2001).